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Abstract

Background: Procalcitonin in neonatal sepsis (NS) has high sensitivity compared to C-reactive protein (CRP), but its specificity is not yet clearly defined. Objectives: Evaluation of Procalcitonin as an early marker, assessing its diagnostic utility in early-onset NS was the primary and comparing the levels of Procalcitonin with CRP was secondary objective.

Method: In this observational, prospective study, neonates meeting the selection criteria were included and grouped into three, according to clinical symptoms of sepsis and blood culture.

Results: Blood samples from 75 babies (male = 61.0%) were analysed; 63.0% and 37.0% were of gestational age (GA) ≥ 37 and < 37 weeks, respectively. Birth-weight <2.5 kg and >2.5 Kg was noted in 52.0% and 48.0%, respectively. Meconium stained liquor (n=34), premature rupture of membranes (n=19) and prolonged labour/instrumental delivery (n=13) were major maternal risk factors. General (45.33%), respiratory (25.33%), gastrointestinal (17.33%), cardiovascular (6.66%) symptoms were common presentation; forty one (55.0%) were negative for procalcitonin and 34 (45.0%) were positive; 61 (81.0%) tested negative for CRP, only 14 (19.0%) were positive with levels >10mg/mL. Procalcitonin positivity was statistically significant (<0.05) for males, term babies and with normal birth weight favouring procalcitonin. of seven positive blood culture, coagulase positive staphylococci (n= 03), Klebsiella (n= 02), E. coli (n=01), Pseudomonas (n=01) were isolated. On correlation with blood culture, Procalcitonin showed better sensitivity and negative predictive value. Conclusion: Procalcitonin is a better early marker than CRP in early onset NS. It has better positivity for male, term and normal birth weight babies.
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[7]. The quest and search for the same for early diagnosis of NS is the need of the hour. The paradigm in pediatric practice is a neonate is likely to suffer more if the infection is under-diagnosed and untreated than over-diagnosed and treated demanding a diagnostic test with high sensitivity than high specificity.

Emerging shreds of evidence showing serum procalcitonin (PCT) as a measurable laboratory marker in the inflammatory response to the infection is promising due to its high sensitivity compared to CRP, but its specificity is still debated [9]. Considering the diversity in population, that is different from other global counterparts where the diagnostic utility of PCT is encouraging, there is a scarcity of studies on evaluation of the role of various biochemical markers in the diagnosis of NS especially PCT in Indian neonates. We attempted to document the effects of intrapartum risk factors, assess and compare the diagnostic role of Procalcitonin and CRP in early-onset NS.

Materials & Methods

Type of study- This observational, prospective study was conducted by the Department of Pediatrics of a tertiary care teaching hospital between May 2016 and April 2017, after obtaining approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee.

Sampling method & Sample collection- Prospective participants admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) were identified and those meeting the selection criteria were included after obtaining written informed consent from the respective parent. Evaluation of Procalcitonin levels as an early marker in the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis and assessing its diagnostic utility in early-onset NS was the primary objective. Comparing Procalcitonin levels with CRP levels was the secondary objective of the study.

Inclusion & Exclusion criteria- We included neonates with gestational age >28 weeks, birth weight >1000 gm and aged <72 hours with clinical features/risk factors of sepsis; those who were already on antibiotics, with congenital anomalies were excluded.

Results

The blood samples from 75 babies (male = 46, 61.0%; female = 29, 39.0%) meeting the inclusion and exclusion criteria were analysed; twenty-eight (37.0%), babies were born before 37 weeks of pregnancy and 47 (63.0%) had a gestational age ≥ 37 weeks. Thirty-nine (52.0%) and 36 (48.0%) babies had a birth-weight of <2.5 kg and > 2.5Kg, respectively.

Symptoms and clinical signs of sepsis included general features in 34 (45.33%), respiratory involvement in 19 (25.33%), gastrointestinal in 13 (17.33%), cardiovascular system in five (6.66%) and one patient each had involvement of central nervous system and haematological manifestation.
Table-1: Laboratory investigations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total white blood cell count</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5000</td>
<td>74 (98.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5000</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absolute neutrophil count</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1800</td>
<td>75 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1800</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Band cell ratio</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20%</td>
<td>74 (98.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20%</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C-reactive protein (mg/mL)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative (&lt;10)</td>
<td>61 (81%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive (&gt;10)</td>
<td>14 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procalcitonin (ng/mL)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.50</td>
<td>41 (54.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50-2.0</td>
<td>13 (17.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>21 (28.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Forty-one (55.0%) were negative for procalcitonin and 34 (45.0%) were positive; 61 (81.0%) tested negative for CRP, only 14 (19.0%) were positive with levels >10mg/mL (Table 1).

Table-2: Comparison of CRP and PCT positivity between gender, gestational age, and birth weight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>CRP positive n (%)</th>
<th>PCT positive n (%)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12 (26.08%)</td>
<td>23 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2 (06.8%)</td>
<td>11 (37.9%)</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gestational age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>9 (19.1%)</td>
<td>20 (42.5%)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-term</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5 (17.8%)</td>
<td>14 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bodyweight</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 2.5 KG</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8 (22.2%)</td>
<td>16 (44.44%)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2.5 KG</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6 (15.3%)</td>
<td>18 (46.1%)</td>
<td>0.387</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On comparing CRP and PCT positivity, the p value was found to be significant (<0.05) for males, term babies and with normal birth weight (table 2).

Table-3: Association of Maternal characteristics and PCT & CRP positivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RISK FACTORS</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>PCT Positive n (%)</th>
<th>CRP Positive n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meconium Stained Liquor</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15 (44.11%)</td>
<td>04 (11.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROM</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8 (42.1)</td>
<td>04 (21.05%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged labour or Instrumental delivery</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4 (30.7%)</td>
<td>03 (23.07%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal UTI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 3 Vaginal Examination after rupture of membrane</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4 (80.0%)</td>
<td>2 (40.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foul smelling Liquor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
<td>1 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrapartum fever (&gt; 38° F)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Infections</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On comparing CRP and PCT positivity, the p value was found to be significant (<0.05) for males, term babies and with normal birth weight (table 2).
Table-4: Evaluation of Procalcitonin in relation to CRP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>PCT in relation to CRP</th>
<th>CRP in relation to PCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True positive (n)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False positive (n)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False negative (n)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True negative (n)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity %</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity %</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
<td>95.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV %</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV %</td>
<td>95.1%</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Study groups

- **Group A** Proven Sepsis
  - Positive blood culture
  - Clinical symptoms of sepsis

- **Group B** Suspected Sepsis
  - Negative blood culture
  - ≥ 02 signs suggestive of sepsis with at least one abnormal laboratory parameter.
  - ≥ 01 signs suggestive of sepsis with two or more abnormal laboratory parameter.

- **Group C** No Sepsis
  - No laboratory evidence of infection

Figure 2: Comparison of true & false positivity, true & false negativity of CRP and PCT

- True positive: PCT - 6, CRP - 3
- False positive: PCT - 11, CRP - 4
- True negative: PCT - 40, CRP - 57
- False negative: PCT - 1, CRP - 4
Meconium stained liquor (n=34), premature rupture of membranes (PROM) (n=19) and prolonged labour/instrumental delivery (n=13) were the major maternal risk factors observed in our study (Table 3).

**Blood culture:** Only seven (9.0%) had positive blood culture; coagulase positive staphylococci (n= 03, 04%), Klebsiella (n= 02, 2.6%), E. coli (n=01, 1.3%), Pseudomonas growth (n=01, 1.3%) were grown on culture. Sixty eight (91.0%) cultures showed no growth.

There was no proven sepsis in 38 (50.6%), suspected sepsis in 30 (40%) and proven sepsis in 7 (9.3%) cases.

Procalcitonin in comparison with CRP showed better sensitivity and negative predictive value (Figure 3 and Table 4).

**Discussion**

The causes for sepsis differ in early and late onset neonatal sepsis; while maternal factors are the root cause in the former, environmental contribution (catheters, indwelling lines, contact with an infected caregiver) is significant in the latter. Early diagnosis along with the identification of the cause is crucial in the management but often is most challenging. Hence, neonates with risk factors for infection or clinical suspicion of infection are empirically treated with antibiotics, because the mortality rate of untreated sepsis can be as high as 50%. [11] An early sensitive and specific laboratory test would be helpful to guide clinicians in neonatal units in deciding whether or not to start antibiotics and avoid the unnecessary treatment of non-infected patients.

CRP It has been found to have better specificity and PPV in late-onset sepsis. This improved accuracy is because CRP lags after the onset of infection because transcription of this protein is under the direction of other cytokines [12]. CRP is considered ideal biomarker as it’s level remain elevated till the infection resolves. Elevated levels can be seen within 4-6 hours of the onset that reaches the abnormal levels in~24 hours of infection; peak levels are reached within 2-3 days of infection, and most importantly, remain elevated till the infection is cleared. Procalcitonin being more sensitive than CRP particularly during the first 24 hours of birth [13] and in bacterial infection is being considered in the initial battery of investigations. While bacterial culture time consuming, estimation of procalcitonin requires much less turnaround time (90-120 mins), reducing the much crucial waiting time [13-14]. However, raised Procalcitonin levels are seen after 24 hours after the onset of infection, associated with other respiratory diseases, and in those born to diabetic mothers, a cautious approach is needed in interpreting the results.

Of these two markers, procalcitonin scored better in comparison to CRP as an early diagnostic marker [15-16]. With insufficient data, it becomes necessary to evaluate the importance of Procalcitonin as an early marker and the need to include this investigation in Sepsis Screen for the newborn.

Previous studies have thrown light the reliability of procalcitonin in the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis, particularly in distinguishing causative agents, proving its value in excluding bacterial infections, with a negative predictive value of 93% [17-18].
Demographically, male children (61.3%) were more affected in our study, similar to the available reports (62.4%-65.9%) [19-21]. There is a genetic linking to the x-linked immuno-regulatory gene resulting in the host's susceptibility to the infection in males [22]. Available literature [23] undoubtedly indicates that low birth weight babies are more prone to develop neonatal sepsis (54.5%-66.0%) [19, 24] due to immature physiological and immunological functions and maternal risks. Our study is in support of this observation as 53.0% of babies had a birth weight of <2.5Kg. Apart from maternal infection, and premature rupture of membranes low birth-weight (<2.5Kg) and gestational age (<37 weeks as low as <30 weeks) were considered risk factors for neonatal sepsis. [25-27]. An inverse relationship has been documented between gestational age (≤ 30 weeks) and low birth weight (≤1500 gms) with late-onset neonatal sepsis [28]. In contrast, 66.2% had a gestational age of ≥ 37 weeks; similar observations were reported by other Indian authors [16, 20].

Premature rupture of membranes, meconium stained and/foul smelling liquor, prolonged labour/instrumental delivery, >three vaginal examinations after the rupture of the membranes, intra-partal fever and maternal infections including UTI are the common risk factors reported [20,22,29-31] Meconium stained liquor (45.3%), PROM (25.3%), prolonged labor/instrumental delivery (173%) were common risk factors in our study.

Clinical features and the further course in neonatal sepsis depends on various factors such as birth weight, place of delivery, the age of newborn, intervention in preventable factors for sepsis, availability, accessibility, affordability and timely referral of baby to an appropriate centre. Clinical features of NS vary, often indistinguishable from other common infections. General symptoms such as refusal of feed, respiratory symptoms & signs, fever, bleeding manifestation, jaundice, alteration in body temperature, seizures, hypotonia are the clinical presentations. We report respiratory signs and symptoms (56%), followed by general symptoms like refusal to feed, lethargy (26.6%). Tachypnea/respiratory distress (75%), refusal to feeds (74%), and fever (69%) were reported by Kinchi et al [21].

In our study, 98.6% had >5000 cells/mm³, absolute neutrophil count was >1800 cells/mm³ in all (100%), band cell ratio <20% was seen in 98.6%.

Culture is the standard guide for the administration of antibiotics but is time-consuming and isolating the causative organism is not always possible. The success of isolating bacterial pathogens from blood depends upon the quantum of blood, frequency of culture, duration of incubation. In our study, 90.6% had negative blood culture, and only seven (9.3%) were culture positive, similar to previous studies (0.8%-9%) [31, 32-35]. Coagulase positive staphylococcal growth (04%), Klebsiella (2.6%), E. coli growth, and Pseudomonas growth was observed in 1.3% each, respectively, in our study, similar to previous studies [31, 33-36]. The bacteriological profile from blood cultures of neonates in a tertiary care hospital revealed that Staphylococci and Klebsiella were the most common isolates; Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms together accounted for32.3% (266/823) and 33.8% (278/823) of the isolates, respectively [37]. Previous studies have isolated group B streptococci, Staphylococcus species, E.coli, Klebsiella, enterobacter.

In our study, at a cut off value of >10mg/L the CRP, sensitivity was 42.8%, specificity of 83.8%, PPV was 21.4% and NPV of 93.4%, similar to the observations of Joram et al [38]. These results were also comparable to those by Abdollahi et al [39] who reported lower sensitivity (69%) and higher specificity (96%) of CRP in detecting sepsis. Bonac et al [40] compared the levels of CRP, PCT and IL-6 in the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis in 58 infants. They reported that the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of CRP at the time of diagnosis was 36%, 92%, 43% and 89% respectively using a cut off value of 14 mg/l which is closer to the present study.

In our study, procalcitonin showed a sensitivity of 85.7%, specificity of 58.8%, PPV of 17.6% and NPV of 97.5% which was similar to the reports by Joram et al[38] Our study showed that sensitivity of PCT for the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis is higher (85.7%) than that ofCRP (42.8%) using a cut off value of 0.5 ng/ml and 10 mg/L for PCT and CRP, respectively. The higher sensitivity of PCT in comparison to CRP was also reported in the literature [41-42]. At the same cut off values, we found that the specificity of PCT (58.8%) to be lower than that of CRP (83.8%) in different studies [41,43] and higher in others. [42] Our study supports the observation that PCT is more sensitive than CRP in the detection of neonatal sepsis. Serum procalcitonin has shown to be superior to serum CRP level concerning an early diagnosis of NS, in detecting the severity of the illness and evaluation of the response to the antibiotic treatment [44]. However, when combined, these tests will help the pediatrician in 'ruling out' in negative PCT test and "ruling in" the possibility of sepsis with a raised CRP [34]
Our study indicates that PCT is a better predictor of sepsis in males, for term babies and with a normal birth weight indicating and hence, a better marker in this population. However, in contrary, Fendler et al. [45] found only PCT as a valuable tool after comparing the diagnostic usefulness of PCT, CRP and I: T in nosocomial sepsis among preterm neonates. Lachowska et al. [46] in their study on the usefulness of PCT as a marker of early-onset systemic infections in preterm newborns concluded that in such cases PCT had significantly greater values than uninfected ones (p<0.005). Further studies are required to demonstrate the influence of gender, gestational age and birth weight in a larger population.

We suggest that the commencement of antibiotics in newborn infants must be based on the PC T results on the day of their admission to the NICU. The considerable heterogeneity of the results among the studies evaluating different markers for detection of neonatal sepsis can be explained by the lack of a universally acceptable definition of neonatal sepsis, a difference in the cut-off values incorporated in the studies and organism involved in the sepsis that all may interfere in results.

Laboratory investigations are helpful in establishing and confirming the clinical diagnosis [47] Leukopenia is an important indicator of underlying infection as these neonates have a limited bone marrow reserve; the absolute neutrophil count is a more specific indicator of sepsis than the total white blood counts. A ratio of immature to total neutrophils >0.2 is reported as a better indicator of neonatal sepsis. It is included in the initial list of investigations in the initial days of life in suspected sepsis. It has the limitation of moderate sensitivity but has good negative predictive value. Other markers were having moderate sensitivity though direct towards diagnosis (Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, cytokines and interleukins (IL-6, IL-8, CD 11b)[48] but are not confirmative [49] IL-6 is reported to be a sensitive and specific indicator for the diagnosis of the NS due to PROM [49]. CRP and procalcitonin though are more specific there is no single confirmatory test; hence, a panel of test that includes haematological, biochemical assays, culture (blood, urine and cerebrospinal fluid) & sensitivity and radiological evaluation (chest, abdomen) is recommended. Assessment of antigen levels, virological tests will prove its worth in difficult to diagnose cases.

Of the biomarkers, CRP a well-proven biomarker, procalcitonin an emerging strong, promising candidate, have nicked their place in the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. However, recent research indicates the procalcitonin /CRP ratio is a better indicator to differentiate proven from the suspected sepsis cases [50]. Besides, procalcitonin-guided therapy has advantages such as lesser duration of antibiotic administration, fewer cases of re-infection and no death attributable to sepsis. However, there is less evidence in the Indian population, requiring further studies to confirm [51].

Conclusion

PCT is a better early marker of sepsis than CRP in early onset NS. It has a better positivity for males, term and normal birth weight babies. Meconium stained liquor, PROM, prolonged labor/instrumental delivery were common risk factors. Procalcitonin having a higher negative predictive value can be used in ruling out NS. Including procalcitonin in the regular panel of investigations will prove beneficial in early detection.

What this study adds to existing knowledge- PCT is more reliable method of diagnosing neonatal sepsis because it showed better sensitivity and negative predictive value as compared to CRP therefore helps in detection of most number of cases and decrease in number of patients treated unnecessarily.

PCT is a better early marker of sepsis, helps in avoiding antibiotic therapy where it is not required and thereby reducing the cost of therapy and also the emergence of bacterial resistance.
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