Effectiveness of predicting outcome in septic shock in critically ill children by assessing serum lactate levels
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Abstract

Background and Aims: Hyperlactatemia at the time of admission is a documented risk factor for mortality in critically ill adult patients. However, the significance of lactate measurement at admission for mortality in critically ill children remains uncertain. This study evaluated the predictive value of blood lactate levels at the time of admission and determined the cut-off values for predicting in-hospital mortality in the critically ill children. Materials and Methods: A total of 60 children with diagnosis of sepsis admitted to PICU of a tertiary care hospital were included in the study. PRISM III score and demographic characteristics of all children were recorded. Serum lactate levels were measured at 0-3, 12 and 24 h of PICU admission. The outcome like survival or death was correlated with serum lactate levels. Results: Septic shock was the most common type of shock and had highest mortality. Lactate levels were significantly higher in non survivors in comparison to survivors. A lactate value of more than 5 mmol/l at 0-3, 12 and 24h of PICU admission had increased mortality. Conclusions: A high blood lactate level at admission is independently associated with higher in-hospital mortality in the critically ill children.
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Introduction

Septic shock is the most common life-threatening condition encountered in children worldwide [1]. The management of pediatric septic shock patients includes not only early recognition of inadequate tissue perfusion but also timely correction to prevent anaerobic metabolism, metabolic acidosis and cellular death [2]. Hyperlactatemia is a cardinal finding of septic shock which is resulting from tissue hypoxia [3]. Hyperlactatemia is defined as lactate levels between 18 to 45 mg/dl whereas lactic acidosis is defined as lactate levels more than 45mg/dl and pH below 7.35 [4]. Increased lactate levels may be considered an early marker of a potentially reversible stage of early septic shock [5]. There are few studies on lactate levels in the pediatric age group with sepsis/septic shock. In neonates, studies have shown a poor correlation between pH and blood lactate concentration [6].

In preterm newborns, hyperlactatemia has been described as an indicator of sepsis, but the predictive value for the outcome is not clear [7]. In pediatric sepsis/septic shock, significance of serum lactate is controversial. The present study was conducted with an aim to measure serial lactate levels in children with septic shock and correlates these levels with the outcome.

Material and Method

It was a prospective observational study conducted in PICU of a tertiary care centre of Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India. Sixty cases of septic shock between the ages of 1 month and 12 years were enrolled prospectively in our study over a period of 1 year.

Septic shock was defined as sepsis with either hypotension, i.e. systolic BP<2 SD adjusted for age or at least one manifestation of inadequate organ perfusion, i.e., (1) altered mentation (2) hypoxia (PaO₂ < 45 mmHg while breathing room air (3) metabolic...
acidosis (4) Oliguria along with signs of poor peripheral perfusion. Sepsis was defined as the presence of more than two of the following findings: (1) temperature >38°C or <36°C, (2) WBC count >15,000 cells/mm³, < 4000 cells/mm³, or 10% immature neutrophils and (3) increased acute phase reactants (i.e., ESR > 20 mm/h or CRP > 20 mg/l).

A positive blood culture for a likely pathogen or bacterial culture from an otherwise sterile site was not necessary for diagnosis of sepsis [8].

Patients excluded from the study were: (1) patients with shock, due to cardiogenic, oligemic, anaphylactic, neurogenic shock (2) patients with known malignancies and on immunosuppressive therapy (3) patients with serious neurological disease, chronic illness and major congenital malformations, and (4) postoperative cases.

Following clinical data were recorded for all patients: age/sex, underlying infection, PRISM III score [9,10] and need for mechanical ventilation. All patients were monitored for vital parameters, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), urine output and central venous pressure. Arterial blood gas (ABG) analysis was done at 0-3, 12, 24, 48h, and as and when required.

The serum lactate level was measured in arterial blood at 0-3 hrs (lactate 1), 12 hrs (lactate 2) and 24 hrs (lactate 3). Treatment of septic shock was done in all patients as per guidelines [11]. The outcome of patients was recorded as “survived” or “expired”. Serial serum lactate levels were measured and compared between survivors and non-survivors.

Statistical methods: Statistical analysis was performed using the Windows SPSS software version 16. The continuous variables with normal distribution were expressed as mean ± SD and were compared using Student’s t-test.

The continuous variables with an asymmetric distribution were expressed as median and the respective range interval were compared using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test.

Result

Different characteristics of patients admitted in PICU with septic shock are shown in [Table-1]. Pneumonia (70%) was the most common infection associated with septic shock. Only 10% patients had culture positive sepsis.

Table - 1: Characteristics of patients admitted in PICU with septic shock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients included in the study</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male : female</td>
<td>1:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mean [months]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6 months</td>
<td>42(70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 months</td>
<td>12(20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-144 months</td>
<td>6(10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying Infective Pathology</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Empyema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meningitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No identifiable cause</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-2 Shows age, sex, total leucocyte counts, GCS and blood pH at the time of transfer to PICU. There were no significant difference between survivors and non-survivors. All three lactate levels and PRISM III score were significantly higher in non-survivors as compared to survivors.
Table-2: Various clinical parameters, PRISM III scores, and lactate levels among survivor and non-survivors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Survivors</th>
<th>Non-survivors</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age Mean (months)</td>
<td>24.4±43.1</td>
<td>11.5±26.4</td>
<td>0.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median [months (IQR)]</td>
<td>5(3.8)</td>
<td>6(3.9)</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male : Female</td>
<td>9:6</td>
<td>5:8</td>
<td>0.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS at PICU arrival (mean)</td>
<td>9.6±2.24</td>
<td>8.16±2.9</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (mean)</td>
<td>17,200±4340</td>
<td>18,100±5450</td>
<td>0.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH at transfer to PICU (mean)</td>
<td>7.32±0.15</td>
<td>7.25±0.14</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRISM III score (mean)</td>
<td>9.3±4.9</td>
<td>22.18±6.95</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate 1 (mean; mg/dl)</td>
<td>41.7±18.7</td>
<td>79.8±67.42</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate 2 (mean; mg/dl)</td>
<td>39.2±29.6</td>
<td>80.35±40.73</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate 3 (mean; mg/dl)</td>
<td>35.7±27.4</td>
<td>77.85±48.86</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relationship of lactate at admission (lactate 1) with the PRISM III score was determined by calculating the spearman correlation coefficient and two tailed significance. A highly significant positive correlation existed between the PRISM III score and lactate level (lactate 1) at the time of admission.

Discussion

In our study, all three lactate levels were significantly higher among nonsurvivors in comparison to survivors. Lactate value more than 45 mg/dl (5mmol/l) predicted death at a significant level. In previous studies by Duke et al [12] and Koliski et al[13] a lactate level of >3 mmol/l significantly predicted mortality. This value was high (5 mmol/l) in our study.

In a study by Jacobs et al, meningitis was the most frequent infection occurring in 49.7% of cases [14]. Llorens et al. observed 24% cases of septic shock with no identifiable cause of infection.[1].

Higher mortality in our study is due to the fact that the majority of septic shock patients admitted to PICU were refractory to fluid and single inotrope. In infants, the incidence of sepsis and associated mortality is higher [15]. Most of patients in our study were infants thus resulting in high mortality.

Trials have demonstrated the prognostic value of lactate levels in postcardiac surgery patients, surgical patients, in infections/sepsis and septic shock [16]. Marecaux et al. showed that lactate is a better prognostic value that the tumor necrosis factor and IL-6 [17].

Lactate clearance can be used for risk stratification of patients and determine their response to therapy [18]. Vincent et al. described that shock patients with lower lactate value has the better prognosis [19].

Our study authenticates previous studies showing higher lactate level at the time of admission correlates with higher mortality.

Conclusion

Septic shock is a common cause for admission to intensive care unit with high mortality. The present study showed that most patients who died, had higher blood lactate levels than those who survived. Lactate levels at 0-3,12 and 24 h (>5 mmol/l) and PRISM III score (>10) were important predictors of death in septic shock.

There is a need for larger studies to find out the cut-off values of lactate levels above which morality increase significantly. Moreover high serum lactate level was associated with higher mortality.

Serum lactate can be used for prognostication of patients in sepsis or septic shock. This makes it useful as a prognostic marker of sepsis or septic shock patients.
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