

To study the outcome of exchange transfusion in severe neonatal sepsis in neonates admitted in NICU at Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar memorial hospital, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Narayan Rao B.¹, Dewangan S.², Kumar Singh V.^{3*}

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17511/ijpr.2021.i03.05>

¹ Badri Narayan Rao, Associate Professor, Department of Paediatrics, Pt. J.N.M. Medical College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.

² Shashikant Dewangan, Assistant Professor, Department of Paediatrics, Pt. J.N.M. Medical College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.

^{3*} Vikas Kumar Singh, Postgraduate, Department of Paediatrics, Pt. J.N.M. Medical College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.

Background: Sepsis is one of the most common causes of neonatal mortality and morbidity. Immaturity of the immune system, newborn infants are highly susceptible to systemic infection. Blood exchange transfusion in severe neonatal sepsis remove bacteria, bacterial toxins, and circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines, improve perfusion and tissue oxygenation, correct the plasma coagulation system and enhance immunological defence mechanisms. **Material and methods:** This is a hospital-based, time-bound, analytical observational study conducted from January 2019 to December 2019 in the NICU of Dr. B.R.A.M. Hospital & Pt. J. N. M. Medical College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India. The data was collected in pre-designed proforma, entered in Microsoft Excel and analysis was done using SPSS v 22.0. **Result:** About 42 neonates were diagnosed with severe neonatal severe. Of which 23 (54.76%) were preterm, 42.24% were term neonates. Maximum 22 (52.38%) were VLBW, 4.76% were LBW and 19.05% were with normal birth weight. In the study two-third of 28 (66.67%) were outborn and one third were inborn. In the present study majority of 30 (71.43%) had EOS and 12 (28.57%) had LOS. In our study out of 42 study subjects 24 (57.14%) died and 18 (42.86%) were discharged after blood exchange transfusion. Of those who died 15 (62.5%) were preterm and of those discharged 10 (55.6%) were term neonates ($p=0.349$). Outborn neonates more died as compare to inborn though this was also not significant ($p=0.133$). **Conclusion:** significant reduction of mortality in patients who underwent exchange transfusion, together with the no adverse effects observed, suggest that this procedure should be considered for the treatment of neonates with severe sepsis.

Keywords: Neonatal sepsis, Exchange transfusion, Term neonates

Corresponding Author

Vikas Kumar Singh, Postgraduate, Department of Paediatrics, Pt. J.N.M. Medical College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.
Email: drvikaskumarsingh90@gmail.com

How to Cite this Article

Rao BN, Dewangan S, Singh VK. To study the outcome of exchange transfusion in severe neonatal sepsis in neonates admitted in NICU at Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar memorial hospital, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India. *Pediatric Rev Int J Pediatr Res.* 2021;8(3):152-159.
Available From
<https://pediatrics.medresearch.in/index.php/ijpr/article/view/679>

To Browse



Manuscript Received
2021-04-30

Review Round 1
2021-05-03

Review Round 2
2021-05-13

Review Round 3
2021-05-27

Accepted
2021-06-09

Conflict of Interest
No

Funding
Nil

Ethical Approval
Yes

Plagiarism X-checker
9%

Note



© 2021 by Badri Narayan Rao, Shashikant Dewangan, Vikas Kumar Singh and Published by Siddharth Health Research and Social Welfare Society. This is an Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> unported [CC BY 4.0].



Introduction

As per World Health Organization (WHO) sepsis/infection is one of the most common causes of neonatal mortality and morbidity [1]. It has been estimated that 7.6million children younger than five years of age died in 2010; of these deaths, 64% were attributed to infectious causes, and neonates contributed to a significant proportion (40.3%)[2].

Owing to the immaturity of the immune system, newborn infants are highly susceptible to systemic infection [3-6]. Studies have demonstrated a significant deficit across both innate and adaptive immunity. Neonatal adaptive immune function is hampered by deficiencies in T-cell function and B-cell function (weak immunoglobulin production) and by underdeveloped secondary lymphoid tissues [3, 6]. The innate immune system of these neonates is compromised by deficits in barrier integrity; circulating complement components; expression of antimicrobial proteins and peptides; quantitative and qualitative impairments in neutrophil, monocyte, macrophage, and dendritic cell functions; and decreased response to most Toll-like receptor agonists [5, 6].

The mortality rate can reach 60% in very low birth weight infants (VLBWI, birth weight < 1500 g) [7]. Early diagnosis, timely administration of appropriate antibiotics, and proper supportive therapy are crucial to improve survival and reduce long-term sequelae [8, 9]. Unfortunately, neonatal sepsis can progress rapidly to septic shock, occurring in 1.3% of neonates hospitalized in a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), with an overall mortality of 40%, reaching 71% in neonates weighing less than 1000 g at the onset of sepsis [10].

Case reports published in the medical literature in the 1970s [11, 12]. reporting the effective use of exchange transfusion (ET) in severe neonatal infection with sclerema prompted some authors to use this procedure as rescue therapy in neonates with severe sepsis in subsequent years [13-15]. The rationale for the use of ET using fresh, whole, adult blood is to remove bacteria, bacterial toxins, and circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines; to improve perfusion and tissue oxygenation; to correct the plasma coagulation system; and to enhance immunological defence mechanisms (increase in circulating levels of C3, immunoglobulins, improvement in the opsonic activity against the pathogen, enhancement of neutrophil function) [16-18].

Despite these potential benefits, very few studies were conducted in the last few decades to investigate the clinical efficacy of ET in neonatal sepsis and septic shock [16, 19-20]. Although most studies showed some beneficial effects to the use of ET, clear evidence for its clinical efficacy is lacking. The discrepancy observed across studies can be attributed largely to the use of different inclusion and exclusion criteria, diagnostic criteria, and study designs.

However there is a paucity of published studies and data on exchange transfusion on the outcome of neonatal sepsis cases particularly in developing countries. Therefore this prospective study was decided to conduct in a tertiary care teaching hospital in central India to measure the outcome of exchange transfusion in severe neonatal sepsis in term and preterm neonates.

Primary Objectives:

01. To study mortality rate in severe neonatal sepsis undergoing exchange transfusion.
02. To compare the outcome of term and preterm neonates with severe sepsis undergoes exchange transfusion.

Material and Methods

Study design: This is a hospital-based, time-bound, analytical observational study conducted from January 2019 to December 2019.

Study setting: This study was conducted in the NICU of Dr. B. R. A. M. Hospital & Pt. J. N. M. Medical College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.

Study duration: This study was conducted from January 2019 to December 2019.

Sample Size and Subject Selection: In this hospital-based time-bound analytical observational study, we enrol all neonates >1000 gm admitted with severe sepsis undergoing exchange transfusion to fulfil all criteria.

Inclusion criteria: Neonates weighing >1000 gm having sepsis with evidence of sclerema undergoing exchange transfusion.

Exclusion criteria

01. All neonates having severe sepsis with multiple congenital anomalies
02. All neonates having severe sepsis without sclerema
03. All neonates having severe sepsis with HIE

- 04. All neonates having severe sepsis with RDS
- 05. All neonates having severe sepsis with MAS
- 06. All neonates having weight <1000 gms

Major variable: Weight, Gestational age, Severe sepsis

Outcome variable: Mortality rate, Organ dysfunction, Duration of hospital stay, duration of antibiotic therapy, Adverse events during exchange transfusion

Data entry and analysis: Data entry was done in Excel and analysis was done using SPSS 20.0 software. Wherever, possible percentage, Chi-square test and logistic regression were applied.

Methodology

Neonatal sepsis defined as any sign and symptoms of bacteremia with any two or more of the following septic screening (WBC count <5000/mm³, ANC < 1800/mm³, Immature to total neutrophil ratio >0.2, micro ESR >15 mm in 1st hour, CRP > 10 mg/L. Signs and symptoms of bacteremia include hypothermia/hyperthermia, lethargy, poor cry, refusal to suck, poor perfusion prolonged capillary refill time, hypotonia, absent neonatal reflexes, bradycardia/tachycardia, respiratory distress, apnea, gasping respiration, hypoglycemia/hyperglycemia, metabolic acidosis.

Severe neonatal sepsis defined as neonatal sepsis with sclerema. Sclerema defined as diffuse hardening of subcutaneous tissue with minimal inflammation.

Those neonates that satisfied the inclusion criteria with severe sepsis enrolled and after obtaining written and informed consent from their parents/legal guardian, all basic demographic and clinical details recorded in a pre-designed proforma. Proforma contained information of neonates, gender, age, weight, DOB, DOA, GA, maturity, risk factors, vitals before and after exchange transfusion, investigation reports. Each neonate followed until the outcome (discharge from NICU or death).

Results

In our study around half of the study subjects were 23 (54.76%) were preterm and the rest were term neonates. In the study two-third of 28 (66.67%) were outborn and one third were inborn admissions who underwent exchange transfusion.

Majority 30 (71.43%) had EOS and 12 (28.57%) had LOS, in EOS 17 (40.48%) had PROM, 5 (11.90%) had > 3 vaginal exam and 3 (7.14%) each had a maternal fever, foul-smelling discharge and uterine tenderness and (40.48%) of neonates had prematurity/LBW as a risk factor for sepsis. Out of 42 study subjects 24 (57.14%) have died and 18 (42.86%) were discharged after exchange transfusion treatment. Of those who died 15 (62.5%) were preterm and of those discharged 10 (55.6%) were term neonates (p=0.349). Outborn neonates more died as compare to inborn though this was also not significant (p=0.133). Neonates who stayed for fewer days in hospitals had more dying as compared to those who stayed for longer duration and this was also statistically significant (p=0.000). Morality in 2-5 days and 5-10 days of hospital duration was 100% and in more than 10 days it was 16.67%. In our study out of 36 culture-positive samples 15 (41.7%) were discharged after treatment and 21 (58.3%) died. Of 36 culture positives maximum of 10 were positive for Klebsiella, 8 for E.coli, 6 each for S. aureus and P. aeruginosa, 4 were positive for Acinobactor and 2 were positive for Enterococcus. In present study maximum 32 (76.19%) had cardiovascular dysfunction, 28 (66.67%) had respiratory system dysfunction and 11 (26.19%) had renal system dysfunction.

Table-1: Maturity of study subjects

Maturity	Freq.	Percent
Preterm	23	54.76
Term	19	45.24
Total	42	100

Table 1 shows the maturity of study subjects. 23 (54.76%) were preterm, 45.24% were term neonates.

Table-2: Type of admission of study subjects

Type of admission	Freq.	Percent
Inborn	14	33.33
Out born	28	66.67
Total	42	100

Table 2 shows the type of admission of study subjects. 28 (66.67%) were outborn and one third were inborn.

Table-3: maternal risk factors in study subjects

Maternal risk factors	Freq.	Percent
PROM	17	40.48
>3 vaginal exam	5	11.90

Maternal fever	3	7.14
Foul smelling discharge	3	7.14
Uterine tenderness	2	4.76
Hyperleukocytosis	2	4.76
No	10	23.81
Total	42	100

Table 3 shows maternal risk factor in study subjects, 17 (40.48%) had PROM, 5 (11.90%) had > 3 vaginal exam and 3 (7.14%) each had maternal fever, foul smelling discharge and 2 (4.76%) each had uterine tenderness, hyperleukocytosis.

Table-4: Treatment outcome of study subjects

Outcome	Freq.	Percent
Death	24	57.14
Discharged	18	42.86
Total	42	100

Table 4 shows the treatment outcome of study subjects, 24 (57.14%) have died and 18(42.86%) were discharged after treatment.

Table-5: Association b/w maturity and treatment outcome of study subjects

Maturity	Treatment outcome		Total	P-value
	Death	Discharged		
Preterm	15	8	23	0.349
	65.2%	34.8%	100.0%	
Term	9	10	19	100.0%
	47.4%	52.6%	100.0%	
Total	24	18	42	100.0%
	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%	

Table 5 shows the association b/w treatment outcome and maturity of study subjects, out of 23 preterm neonates 15 (65.2%) died and 8 (34.8%) were discharged after treatment and out of 19 term neonates 9 (47.4%) died and 10 (52.6%) were discharged after treatment.

Table-6: Association b/w duration of hospital stay and treatment outcome of study subjects.

Hospital stay days	Discha/ death		Total	P value
	Death	Discharged		
2-5 days	13	0	13	0.00
	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
5-10 days	8	0	8	100.0%
	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
11-15 day	2	7	9	100.0%
	22.2%	77.8%	100.0%	
16-20 days	1	4	5	100.0%
	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%	
21-25 days	0	5	5	100.0%
	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

> 25 days	0	2	2	
	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	24	18	42	
	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%	

Table 6 shows the association b/w hospital stay and treatment outcome of study subjects Association was tested using the chi-square test and it was statistically significant (p=0.000).

Table-7: Organ dysfunction status in study subjects

Organ system	Freq.	Percent
Cardiovascular	32	76.19
Respiratory system	28	66.67
Renal system	11	26.19

Table 7 shows the organ dysfunction status in study subjects, a maximum of 32 (76.19%) had cardiovascular dysfunction, 28 (66.67%) had respiratory system dysfunction and 11(26.19%) had renal system dysfunction.

Discussion

In our study around half of the study subjects were 23 (54.76%) were preterm and the rest were term neonates. In our study the mean gestational age was 32±2.5 weeks (range 30-38 weeks). Maximum 19 (45.24%) had GA of 38-40 weeks, 11 (26.19%) had GA of 32-34 weeks and 9 (2.43%) had GA of 34-36 weeks. Aradhya AS et al (2015) in Chandigarh reported the mean gestational age of neonates at exchange blood transfusion was 31±2.8weeks and 36% were small for their gestational age [20].

In a similar study Pugni, L et al. (2016) reported that the median gestational age was 28 weeks (range 26-31) weeks [21]. In our study two-third of 28 (66.67%) were outborn and one third were inborn admissions who underwent exchange transfusion. In a similar study Pugni, L et al. (2016) reported that 88% of the neonates with severe sepsis were inborn admissions [21]. In most of the studies they have done the study on inborn newborns. Therefore the data were mostly reported for inborn.

In our study 17 (40.48%) had PROM, 5 (11.90%) had > 3 vaginal exam and (40.48%) of neonates had prematurity/LBW as risk factor for sepsis. In a similar study Aradhya AS et al (2015) in Chandigarh reported that 34% of mothers had pPROM (>24 h) and 27% of mothers had pregnancy-induced hypertension [20].

This is suggestive that early preterm premature rupture is one of the major risk factors for sepsis in children. The onset of sepsis and sclerema in study subjects: In the present study majority 30 (71.43%) had EOS and 12 (28.57%) had LOS. All the study subjects who had exchange blood transfusion developed sclerema. Pugni, L et al. (2016) in their study reported that newborns who underwent exchange transfusion 54% had early onset of sepsis and 46% had late onset of sepsis [21].

Duration of mean hospital stay days is 11.73 ± 7.48 days. Those who died had a mean duration of hospital stay was 6.5 days and those who survived had 18.7 days. Similarly the median duration of days in hospital was 11 days. In our study out of 42 study subjects 24 (57.14%) have died and 8 (42.86%) were discharged after exchange transfusion treatment. Of those who died 15 (62.5%) were preterm and of those discharged 10 (55.6%) were term neonates ($p=0.349$). Outborn neonates more died as compare to inborn though this was also not significant ($p=0.133$). Neonates with exchange transfusion who had 2-5 days of antibiotic had more death 13/24 (54.16%) as compared to 6-8 days 8/24 (33.33%) and it was statistically significant ($p=0.000$).

Neonates who stayed for a fewer number of days in hospitals had more dying as compared to those who stayed for longer duration and this was also statistically significant ($p=0.000$). Morality in 2-5 days and 5-10 days of hospital duration was 100% and in more than 10 days it was 16.67%. Vain NE et al (1980) study the role of exchange transfusion in the treatment of severe septicemia. Ten critically ill newborn infants presenting with septicemia were treated with exchange transfusions. Seven of the ten (70%) infants showed immediate improvement and ultimately survived [14]. Bossi et al. (1981) study the role of exchange transfusion for severe neonatal septicemia. They treated 35 neonates with severe sepsis, 22 of them treated with ET, and 13 with standard therapy alone.

The survival rate was similar between the two groups (ET, 54.5% vs. ScT, 53.8%; $p=$ No Significant). [22] Gross S.J. et al (1982) reported from a controlled trial, that the mortality rate was the same among those who received ET and those who did not receive it [23]. Dalvi R et al (1991) study the exchange transfusions in neonatal sepsis. The mean time for recovery following ET was 19.6 +/- 12.4 h (range: 1-48 h).

The overall survival was 77.4% and the survival rates for LBW and non-LBW infants were 73.6 and 68.2%, respectively, however, the difference was not statistically significant [24]. Exchange transfusion may thus be an effective and safe therapeutic modality for severe neonatal sepsis. Mathur NB et al (1993) study the effect of exchange transfusion in neutropenic septicemic neonates. Mortality was 35% in the study group and 70% in the controls. Gram-negative organisms accounted for 80% in the study group and 90% in controls [17]. Sadana S et al (1997) study the role of exchange transfusion in septic neonates with sclerema Mortality was 50% in the study group and 95% in controls [25].

Gunes T et al (2006) did a pilot study on Exchange transfusion or intravenous immunoglobulin therapy as an adjunct to antibiotics for neonatal sepsis. There were nine deaths (27%) in the IVIG group, seven (21%) in the ET group and nine (41%) in the control group ($p>0.05$) [19]. In a similar study Aradhya AS et al (2015) in Chandigarh reported that the primary outcome of mortality by 14 days from enrollment was observed in 14 (34 %) neonates in the DVET group in comparison to 18 (42 %) in the ST group, Similarly, early mortality (mortality by 7 days) as well as mortality by discharge showed a trend towards reduction in the DVET group in comparison to the ST group. No significant difference could be observed in the time to mortality. The median duration of stay days in hospital was 25 days [20].

Pugni, L et al. (2016) reported their 10 years' experience of exchange transfusion in the treatment of neonatal septic shock. The mortality rate was 36% in the ET group and 51% in the ScT group ($p=0.16$). A multivariate logistic regression analysis, controlling for potentially confounding factors significantly associated with death (gestational age, serum lactate, inotropic drugs, oligoanuria), ET showed a marked protective effect (Odds Ratio 0.21, 95% Confidence Interval: 0.06-0.71; $p=0.01$). The lack of observed adverse events should encourage the use of this procedure in the treatment of neonates with septic shock [21]. Verma A et al (2020) did a retrospective observational study on the role of DVET in severe neonatal sepsis.

There was a significant reduction in mortality in the intervention group (57% vs. 71% ($p= .004$)). They concluded that in neonates with severe sepsis, DVET may be a useful adjunct therapy.

It may reduce mortality and is a safe procedure in severely sick and septic neonates [26].

In our study out of 36 culture-positive samples 15 (41.7%) were discharged after treatment and 21 (58.3%) have died. Of 36 culture positives maximum of 10 were positive for Klebsiella, 8 for E.coli, 6 each for S. aureus and P. aeruginosa, 4 were positive for Acinobactor and 2 were positive for Enterococcus. Pugni, L et al. (2016) reported that in the exchange transfusion group of neonates out of 26 culture-positive samples, 5 (10.6%) were Gram-positive organisms and 21 (44.4%) were Gram-negative organisms. In Gram-positive organisms maximum were *S. epidermidis* and in Gram-negative organisms maximum were *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* and *K. pneumonia* [21].

In our study maximum 32 (76.19%) had cardiovascular dysfunction, 28 (66.67%) had respiratory system dysfunction and 11 (26.19%) had renal system dysfunction. In a similar study Aradhya AS et al (2015) in Chandigarh reported that 88% of cases had cardiovascular dysfunction, 56% had Hematological dysfunction and 34% had renal dysfunction [20]. Similarly Pugni, L et al. (2016) reported in their study that in (96%) cases the respiratory system has some dysfunction, in (90%) cases had CVS dysfunction and in (48%) cases had renal system dysfunction [21].

Conclusion

The study showed that in study subjects who underwent exchange transfusion 57.14% died and 42.86% were discharged after exchange transfusion treatment. Of those who died around two-third were preterm also, outborn neonates more died as compare to inborn though this was also not significant. Before exchange transfusion all the newborns had sclerema and that improved in 55% cases after exchange transfusion.

In the study 76.19% had cardiovascular dysfunction, 66.67% had respiratory system dysfunction and 26.19% had renal system dysfunction. On vitals except for blood pressure (p=0.000) none of the vitals i.e. temperature, PR, Spo2, Random blood sugar had a significant mean difference in their mean value before and after exchange transfusion. Neonates who stayed for a fewer number of days in hospitals had more dying as compared to those who stayed for longer duration and this was also statistically significant.

In conclusion, a significant reduction of mortality in patients who underwent exchange transfusion, together with the no adverse effects observed, suggest that this procedure should be considered for the treatment of neonates with severe sepsis. Thus, it is a safe procedure in severely septic neonates with inherent potential for complications. One needs to exercise caution in selecting the neonate and the team, and take all the necessary precautions irrespective of the indication. The exchange transfusion must be performed only by experienced individuals at a perinatal-neonatal centre using both a cardio-respiratory monitor and pulse oximeter. The team should be ready to respond to any adverse event that may arise at any stage of the procedure.

Author's contribution

Dr. Rao B. N. conceived, conceptualized, supervised the study, and finalized the manuscript.

Dr. Dewangan S. helped in protocol writing, conceptualization, data analysis, and finalized the manuscript. **Dr. Singh V. K.** the protocol, recruited patients, analyzed the data, and prepared the manuscript. The final manuscript was approved by all authors.

Reference

01. Liu L, Oza S, Hogan D, Chu Y, Perin J, Zhu J, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000-15- an updated systematic analysis with implications for the Sustainable Development Goals. Lancet. 2016;388(10063)3027-3035. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31593-8 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
02. Liu L, Johnson HL, Cousens S, Perin J, Scott S, Lawn JE, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality- an updated systematic analysis for 2010 with time trends since 2000. Lancet. 2012; 379(9832)2151-61. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60560-1 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
03. Adkins B, Leclerc C, Marshall-Clarke S. Neonatal adaptive immunity comes of age. Nat Rev Immunol. 2004 Jul;4(7)553-64. doi: 10.1038/nri1394 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
04. Kapur R, Yoder MC, Polin RA. Developmental immunology, In- Fanaroff AA, Martin RJ editor(s). Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine- Diseases of the Fetus and Infant. 7th Edition, St Louis- Mosby. 2002 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

05. Levy O. Innate immunity of the newborn- basic mechanisms and clinical correlates. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2007 May;7(5)379-90. doi: 10.1038/nri2075 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
06. Wynn J, Cornell TT, Wong HR, Shanley TP, Wheeler DS. The host response to sepsis and developmental impact. *Pediatrics.* 2010 May;125(5)1031-41. doi: 10.1542/peds.2009-3301 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
07. Shane AL, Stoll BJ. Neonatal sepsis- progress towards improved outcomes. *J Infect.* 2014 Jan;68 Suppl 1;S24-32. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2013.09.011 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
08. Klinger G, Levy I, Sirota L, Boyko V, Lerner-Geva L, Reichman B. Israel Neonatal Network, Outcome of early-onset sepsis in a national cohort of very low birth weight infants. *Pediatrics.* 2010 Apr;125(4)e736-40. doi: 10.1542/peds.2009-2017 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
09. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Adams-Chapman I, Fanaroff AA, Hintz SR, Vohr B, Higgins RD. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network, Neurodevelopmental and growth impairment among extremely low-birth-weight infants with neonatal infection. *JAMA.* 2004 Nov 17;292(19)2357-65. doi: 10.1001/jama.292.19.2357 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
10. Kermorvant-Duchemin E, Laborie S, Rabilloud M, Lapillonne A, Claris O. Outcome and prognostic factors in neonates with septic shock. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2008 Mar;9(2)186-91. doi: 10.1097/PCC.0b013e31816689a8 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
11. Prod'hom LS, Choffat JM, Frenck N, Mazoumi M, Relier JP, Torrado A. Care of the seriously ill neonate with hyaline membrane disease and with sepsis (sclerema neonatorum). *Pediatrics.* 1974 Feb;53(2)170-81. [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
12. Xanthou M, Xypolyta A, Anagnostakis D, Economou-Mavrou C, Matsaniotis N. Exchange transfusion in severe neonatal infection with sclerema. *Arch Dis Child.* 1975 Nov;50(11)901-2. doi: 10.1136/adc.50.11.901 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
13. Töllner U, Pohlandt F, Heinze F, Henrichs I. Treatment of septicaemia in the newborn infant- choice of initial antimicrobial drugs and the role of exchange transfusion. *Acta Paediatr Scand.* 1977 Sep;66(5)605-10. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.1977.tb07955.x [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
14. Vain NE, Mazlumian JR, Swarner OW, Cha CC. Role of exchange transfusion in the treatment of severe septicemia. *Pediatrics.* 1980 Nov;66(5)693-7. [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
15. Lemos L. Exchange transfusion in treatment of sepsis. *Pediatrics.* 1981 Sep;68(3)471-2. [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
16. Sadana S, Mathur NB, Thakur A. Exchange transfusion in septic neonates with sclerema- effect on immunoglobulin and complement levels. *Indian Pediatr.* 1997 Jan;34(1)20-5. [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
17. Mathur NB, Subramanian BK, Sharma VK, Puri RK. Exchange transfusion in neutropenic septicemic neonates- effect on granulocyte functions. *Acta Paediatr.* 1993 Nov;82(11)939-43. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.1993.tb12604.x [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
18. Tarnow-Mordi W, Isaacs D, Dutta S. Adjunctive immunologic interventions in neonatal sepsis. *Clin Perinatol.* 2010 Jun;37(2)481-99. doi: 10.1016/j.clp.2009.12.002 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
19. Gunes T, Koklu E, Buyukkayhan D, Kurtoglu S, Karakukcu M, Patiroglu T. Exchange transfusion or intravenous immunoglobulin therapy as an adjunct to antibiotics for neonatal sepsis in developing countries- a pilot study. *Ann Trop Paediatr.* 2006 Mar;26(1)39-42. doi: 10.1179/146532806X90592 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
20. Aradhya AS, Sundaram V, Kumar P, Ganapathy SM, Jain A, Rawat A. Double Volume Exchange Transfusion in Severe Neonatal Sepsis. *Indian J Pediatr.* 2016 Feb;83(2)107-13. doi: 10.1007/s12098-015-1841-0 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]
21. Pagni L, Ronchi A, Bizzarri B, Consonni D, Pietrasanta C, Ghirardi B, et al. Exchange Transfusion in the Treatment of Neonatal Septic Shock- A Ten-Year Experience in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2016 May 9;17(5)695. doi: 10.3390/ijms17050695 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

22. Bossi E, Meister B, Pfenninger J. Exchange transfusion for severe neonatal septicemia. *Pediatrics*. 1981 Jun;67(6)941. [[Crossref](#)][[PubMed](#)][[Google Scholar](#)]

23. Gross SJ, Filston HC, Anderson JC. Controlled study of treatment for disseminated intravascular coagulation in the neonate. *J Pediatr*. 1982 Mar;100(3)445-8. doi: 10.1016/s0022-3476(82)80457-5 [[Crossref](#)][[PubMed](#)][[Google Scholar](#)]

24. Dalvi R, Rao S, Rangnekar J, Fernandez A. Exchange transfusions in neonatal sepsis. *Indian Pediatr*. 1991 Jan;28(1)39-43. [[Crossref](#)][[PubMed](#)][[Google Scholar](#)]

25. Sadana S, Mathur NB, Thakur A. Exchange transfusion in septic neonates with sclerema- effect on immunoglobulin and complement levels. *Indian Pediatr*. 1997 Jan;34(1)20-5. [[Crossref](#)][[PubMed](#)][[Google Scholar](#)]

26. Verma A, Pandita A, Gupta G, Naranje KM, Singh A. Role of DVET in severe neonatal sepsis in an era of high antibiotic resistance- a retrospective observational study. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2020 May 27;1-6. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2020.1771303 [[Crossref](#)][[PubMed](#)][[Google Scholar](#)]